





	<p>as the data sources from which the necessary reporting data could be drawn.</p> <p>c. <b>AEP capital outlay approval requirements</b></p> <p>I. Ms. Ocampo explained where this data would be input in NOVA, and displayed program input templates. She explained that member districts have submitted capital expenditures, although so far only one district has presented that to LARAEC.</p> <p>II. She read the state’s response to LARAEC’s request for capital outlay approval, adding that as a result districts who have submitted capital outlay budgets would have to come to the LARAEC board for approval.</p> <p>III. The board thanked her for her presentation, and Mr. Stark asked if she had seen anything from the state reflecting a change in LARAEC’s funding, which she had not. She added that not all districts had been prompt on their reporting in general.</p>	
	<p><b>3.4 Professional Development</b></p> <p>a. <b>LARAEC 2019 conference update</b></p> <p>I. Ms. Le presented the new graphic/logo for the 2019 conference, and explained the design process. She also described three issues that had been discussed for improvement: the scheduling of the keynote speaker during lunch, the broken sound system, and the lack of coffee, noting how they could improve each.</p> <p>II. Mr. Urioste asked further about the design process, and Ms. Le explained that this was the second iteration of the graphic, and talked about the themes they wanted to convey with the design: Innovate, Motivate, Integrate.</p> <p>b. <b>Update on the faculty professional development survey distributed to all member □ districts</b></p> <p>I. Mr. Gorence acknowledged that there were still a few weeks left for districts to report back, but wanted to give the board an idea of the information that had come in so far.</p> <p>II. He explained that they’d received 129 responses as of 11/8/18, and then went into the most popular responses so far in each of the categories. Mr. Urioste suggested that having only 129 responses was disappointing.</p> <p>III. Ms. Montes arrived at 2:47 p.m.</p> <p>IV. Dr. Mullen added that this was not the only data source they were looking at; they are also looking at the WIOA II surveys. Mr. Carias added that they were also getting the ball rolling on POC’s, and were working on dates.</p>	<p>Ms. Le</p> <p>Mr. Gorence</p>
	<p><b>3.5 Policy and Legislation</b></p> <p>a. <b>No Updates</b></p>	
	<p><b>3.6 Data and Accountability Workgroup</b></p> <p>a. <b>Update on the Data and Accountability Workgroup meeting</b></p>	<p>Mr. Carias Ms. Chavez</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Mr. Carias explained that at the Workgroup’s first meeting, LACCD, MUSD, CCUSD, and BUSD were present and had discussed the objectives, purpose, and goal of the workgroup.</li> <li>II. They discussed the pros and challenges of collecting data. A pro was they could start using data as a measuring stick to inform program decision-making and create tools to show consortium achievements. The challenges are lack of accurate data, data alignment, and lack of data training. At the next meeting Dec 6, they will tackle data alignment.</li> <li>III. Mr. Urioste thanked the point person team for their hard work, and that they would not be where they are today without them.</li> </ul>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>4</b> <b>Informational</b> <b>Items</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>4.1 Information Items</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>MUSD Quarterly Report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Ms. Jacquez gave a presentation on MUSD’s quarterly report, which covered April through August of 2018. She reported data on the following metrics: MUSD/MCAS efforts to ensure effectiveness of services, enrollment and attendance data as determined by MUSD, and expenditures consistent with regional consortium plan goals. She also reported on activities aligned with MUSD’s RCP goals.</li> <li>II. Mr. Stark asked whether these were metrics that all districts in LARAEC should be compelled to report, and whether they should hold off on further reports pending LARAEC bringing in a third-party auditor and implementing a new accountability system. Dr. Miller added that their reports have to be based on common metrics, and also have to be about things that they are required to report to the State. Mr. Stark also clarified that they were not being ask to validate or certify the information being presented by MUSD.</li> <li>III. Mr. Urioste said that he thought they should continue getting reports from MUSD and present it as an agendized confirmation of oversight. Then, he asked for clarification as to where certain data came from, and about the reported 15% calculated for MUSD’s indirect cost. Ms. Ocampo explained that their high indirect cost was probably due to the period covered by the report, and Ms. Jacquez confirmed that MUSD was conforming to a 5% indirect cost rate for this fiscal year.</li> <li>IV. Ms. Jacquez was asked to explain the way her district is governed now, and gave a breakdown, adding that they still have an LA County superintendent in place.</li> <li>V. Mr. Stark added that they had to be really clear with what they were looking for and in what format. Mr. Urioste suggested having someone such as Mr. Asturias sit down with Ms. Jacquez to go over format, and he thought that the report should follow the format of the report from the auditors of MUSD. Dr. Miller motioned that Ms. Jacquez prepare a report of that type for consideration at the January board meeting. Ms. Montes seconded.</li> <li>VI. Ms. Jacquez added that she had given reports of that type to the MUSD board. Mr. Urioste suggested that Ms. Montes sit down with Ms. Jacquez and Mr. Asturias (who was not</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">Ms. Jacquez</p>

	<p>present) to make sure the final report aligns with what has been discussed.</p> <p>VII. The board voted unanimously to direct Ms. Jacquez to compile a report similar in format to the auditor’s report for the January meeting.</p> <p>b. <b>Information about the new innovation awards to be included at the next LARAEC conference</b></p> <p>I. Ms. Stiehl explained that the conference committee had wanted to highlight innovative ideas from teachers and teams of teachers that aren’t always talked about with the rest of LARAEC for the purpose of teaching, sharing, and branding. To that end, they want to create an innovation award to be given at the 2019 conference.</p> <p>II. Anyone working at member districts can nominate themselves or others, and they want to have administrators validate innovations and the difference they make. They wanted to create something you can win more than one time. She then presented a draft innovation nomination form, and explained they want to focus on innovations that can be replicated at another school.</p> <p>III. Mr. Urioste asked how they will judge who gets the award, and Ms. Stiehl explained that they wanted to see what they would get first, and that the final judgment rubric would be created in committee.</p>	Ms. Stiehl
<p><b>5</b> <b>Board</b> <b>Member</b> <b>Reports</b></p>	<p><b>LAUSD:</b></p> <p>a. Mr. Stark had no report.</p>	Mr. Stark
	<p><b>BUSD:</b></p> <p>a. Mr. Urioste had no report.</p>	Mr Urioste
	<p><b>LACCD:</b></p> <p>a. Dr. Miller reported that LACCD continues to work hard in the adult ed arena and with the challenges of the adult ed funding formula.</p>	Dr. Miller
	<p><b>MUSD:</b></p> <p>a. Ms. Jacquez had no report.</p>	Ms. Jacquez
	<p><b>CCUSD:</b></p> <p>a. Ms. Montes had no report.</p>	Ms Montes
<p><b>6</b> <b>Action</b> <b>Items</b></p>	<p>6.1 <b>Approve LARAEC’s Legislative Agenda Resolution</b></p> <p>a. Ms. Montes moved to approve the resolution as written. Ms. Jacquez seconded.</p> <p>b. Mr. Stark wanted to thank his colleagues on the board for supporting the resolution and Dr. Miller for co-sponsoring. He thinks it is a great opportunity for LARAEC to help in terms of setting legislative agenda around the student funding formula.</p> <p>c. The board voted unanimously to approve the resolution.</p>	

	<p>6.2 <b>Approve the AIR proposal for professional learning communities (PLC) PD</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Ms. Montes moved to approve the AIR proposal for professional learning communities (PLC) PD. Dr. Miller seconded.</li> <li>b. The board voted unanimously to approve the AIR proposal.</li> </ul>	
	<p>6.3 <b>Change the date for the December LARAEC regular board meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. It was explained that the motion would be to move the December meeting to December 14 or cancel it and have the meeting as scheduled in January.</li> <li>b. Dr. Miller motioned to cancel and hold meeting in January. Mr. Stark seconded.</li> <li>c. The board voted unanimously to cancel the December meeting and meet again in January.</li> </ul>	
7 Consent Agenda	<p>7.1 <b>Approve the one-year response to the State Auditor's Office Recommendations to LARAEC stemming from the November 2, 2017 MUSD audit</b></p> <p>7.2 <b>Approve the tentative conference budget for the 2019 LAREAC Conference.</b></p> <p>Dr. Miller moved to approve the consent items as presented. Ms. Montes seconded. The board voted unanimously to accept the consent items.</p>	
8	<b>Announcements</b> Next meeting's location TBD. Also, the next board workshop is scheduled for immediately after the meeting at 4:00 PM.	
	Mr. Urioste adjourned the meeting at 3:53 PM.	